ÖSTERREICHISCHES DEUTSCH SPRACHE DER GEGENWART

Herausgegeben von Rudolf Muhr und Richard Schrodt

Rudolf Muhr (Hrsg./ed.)

Innovation und
Kontinuität in Sprache und
Kommunikation verschiedener
Sprachkulturen
Innovation and Continuity
in Language and
Communication of Different
Language Cultures

9

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://www.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Gedruckt mit Förderung des Bundesministeriums für Bildung, Wissenschaft und Kultur in Wien.

Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem, säurefreiem Papier.

ISSN 1618-5714 ISBN-10: 3-631-55415-X ISBN-13: 978-3-631-55415-9 US-ISBN 0-8204-9931-5

© Peter Lang GmbH Europäischer Verlag der Wissenschaften Frankfurt am Main 2006 Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Printed in Germany 1 2 4 5 6 7 www.peterlang.de

Inhaltsverzeichnis / Table of contents

	Innovation and continuity in pluricentric languages and in languages of multiethnic societies / Innovation und Kontinuität in plurizentrischen Sprachen und multiethnischen Gesellschaften	
1.	Leslie BARRART (Terre Haute, USA): What Speakers Don't Notice: Language Changes Can Sneak In. / Was Sprecher nicht merken: Sprachwandel, die sich unbemerkt ausbreiten.	9
2.	Johan DE CALUWE (Ghent, Belgium): Continuity and innovation in the perception of language as a symbol of social emancipation. The case of Belgium Dutch. / Kontinuität und Innovation in den Vorstellungen über Sprache als Symbol der sozialen Emanzipation. Das Beispiel des Belgischen Niederländisch.	27
3.	Marilena KARYOLEMOU (Nikosia, Cyprus): Reproduction and innovation of communicative patterns in a former-"diglossic" community. / Reproduction und Innovation kommunikativer Muster in einer ehemals diglossischen Sprachgemeinschaft. Das Zypriotische Griechisch.	39
4.	Rudolf MUHR (Graz, Austria): Asymmetry in action. The sociolinguistics of lexical change in Austrian German. / Asymmetrie in Aktion. Die Soziolinguistik des lexikalischen Sprachwandels im Österreichischen Deutsch.	57
5.	Gerald STELL (Brussels, Belgium): Changes in Africaans after the end of Apartheid: From White and Eurocentric to African and multiethnic? / Sprachandel in Africaans nach dem Ende der Apartheid: Von weiß und eurozentrisch zu afrikanisch und multiethnisch?	73
vat	nnovation and continuity in the pragmatics and oral use of languages / Inno- ion und Kontinuität in der Pragmatik und im mündlichen Gebrauch von rachen	
6.	Manfred SELLNER (Salzburg, Austria): The expression of respect in the Japanese media: A first look / Der Ausdruck von Respekt in den japanischen Medien: Erste Ergebnisse.	97
	Rika ITO (Northfiled, MN USA): BOKU or WATASHI: Variation in self-reference terms among Japanese children / BOKU oder WATASHI: Variation im Gebrauch von Personalpronomen bei japanischen Kindern.	123
8.	Steven BERBECO / Raul LOPEZ (Boston, USA): "On Dead Dogs, Mr. Berbecs!": Recent developments in Boston teenager vernacular. / "On Dead Dogs, Mr. Berbecs!': Neue Entwicklungen in der Jugendsprache der Teenager in Boston.	143
9.	Sinaid FOMINA (Woronesh, Russia): Innovationen in Sprache und Kommunikationsformen russischer Kleinbusfahrer als Symbole der neuen sozialen Realität in Russland. / Innovation in language and communication of Russian minibus drivers and their clients as symbols for a new social reality in Russia.	151

	Innovation and continuity in political communication and in the communication genres of the new electronic media / Innovation und Kontinuität in der politischen Kommunikation und in Texten der neuen elektronischen Medien	
10.	Heiko GIRNTH / Sascha MICHEL (Mainz, Germany): Americanizing the Election Campaign: The Rapid Response Module as a new political text type. / Die Amerikanisierung des Wahlkampfes: Das Schnelle-Antwort-Modul als neuer Typ der politischen Sprache.	171
11.	Tunde OPEIBI (Lagos, Nigeria): Political Marketing or Political "Macheting"? A Study of Negative Campaigning In Nigerian Political Discourse. / Politisches Marketing oder die Verwendung politischer Macheten? Eine Untersuchung des negativen Wahlkampfes im nigerianischen politischen Diskurs.	185
12.	Abderrazzaq MSELLEK (Fes, Morocco): Die neuen Internet-kommunikationsformen und deren Auswirkungen auf die Sprache und Kommunikationsgewohnheiten der Jugend in Marokko / The new communication genres of the internet and their impact on language and communication of the Moroccan youth.	205
III. 1	Language Contact 1: The influence of English and Russian on other languages / Sprachkontakt 1: Der Einfluss des Englischen und Russischen auf andere Sprachen	
13.	Nino AMIRIDZE / Olga GUREVICH: (Utrecht, NL / Berkeley, USA): The sociolinguistics of borrowing: Georgian "moxdoma" and Russian "proizojti" - "happen". / Die Soziolinguistik der Entlehnung von georgisch "moxdoma" und russisch "proizojti" - "geschehen".	215
14.	Zeinab IBRAHIM (Cairo, Egypt): Borrowing in Modern Standard Arabic / Entlehnungen ins moderne Standard-Arabisch.	235
15.	Alexander ONYSKO (Innsbruck, Austria): English codeswitching in the German news magazine Der Spiegel / Codeswitching ins Englische im deutschen Nachrichtenmagazin "Der Spiegel" .	261
16.	Anita Pavić Pintarić (Zadar, Croatia): Accessoires sind die Must-Haves dieser Saison! Der Modejargon in kroatischen und deutschen Frauenzeitschriften. / Accessories are the "Must-Haves" of this season! The jargon of fashion in Croatian and German women magazines.	291
17.	Lelija SOČANAC, Anja NIKOLIĆ-HOYT (Zagreb, Croatia): English In Croatia: Past And Present / Englisch in Kroatien in Vergangenheit und Gegenwart.	305
18.	Irena VASSILEVA (Berlin, Germany): Der Zustrom des Englischen in Bulgarien – Ein Generationenproblem. / The inflow of English in Bulgaria - A generation problem.	323
IV. 1	Language Contact 2: Innovation and continuity in minority languages / Sprachkontakt 2: Innovation und Kontinuität in Minderheitensprachen	
19.	Attila NÉMETH (Veszprém, Hungary): Über zweisprachige Einstellungskonzepte (mit Anregungen für die deutsch-ungarische Sprachkontaktforschung). / Bilingual attitudinal concepts (and some suggestions for the German-Hungarian research on language contact).	337
20.	Gunter SCHAARSCHMIDT (Victoria, Canada): Language Shift and Text Types: Reconfiguring the Ritual Language of Doukhobor Russians in Canada / Sprachwechsel und Texttypen: Die Neugestaltung der rituellen Sprache der Doukhobor-Russen in Kanada.	353

Vorwort / Preface

Dieser Sammelband umfasst 20 Arbeiten der Sektion 1.4 "Reproduktionen und Innovationen in Sprache und Kommunikation verschiedener Sprachkulturen / Reproduction and Innovation in Language and Communication in different Language Cultures " der IRICS-Konferenz, die in Wien, Österreich, vom 9.-12.12.2005 stattfand. Die Tagung wurde vom INST - "Research Institute for Austrian and International Literature and Cultural Studies" (www.inst.at) organisiert. Ich möchte an dieser Stelle Herbert Arlt, dem wissenschaftlichen Direktor des INST für sein Engagement und die Kunst danken, derart große Konferenzen zu den Kulturwisssenschaften zu organisieren, die in der Zwischenzeit für viele Wissenschaftler in aller Welt zu einem Fixpunkt geworden sind.

Die Arbeit dieser Sektion zielte auf die Beschreibung von Veränderungen in Sprachen und im Kommunikationsverhalten, die in verschiedenen Sprachkulturen in den letzten 30-40 Jahren stattgefunden haben oder noch vor sich gehen. Der Schwerpunkt des Interesses lag auf Prozessen, die sprachliche, soziolinguistische oder pragmatische Innovationen und Kontinuitäten von linguistischen und kommunikativen Formen repräsentieren sowie auf einer Vorausschau auf künftige Entwicklungen.

Der vorliegende Sammelband enthält die Arbeiten von 20 Wissenschaftlern aus 12 Ländern. Sie wurden in 5 thematische Gruppen eingeteilt: (1) Innovation and Kontinuität in plurizentrischen Sprachen bzw. in Sprachen multiethnischer Gesellschaften; (2) Innovation und Kontinuität in der Pragmatik und im mündlichen Gebrauch von Sprachen; (3) Innovation und Kontinuität im Bereich politischer Kommunikation und in den Kommunikationsformen der neuen elektronischen Medien; (4) Sprachkontakt I: Der Einfluss des Englischen und Russischen auf andere Sprachen; (5) Sprachkontakt II: Innovation und Kontinuität bei Minderheitensprachen.

Die Arbeiten dieses Sammelbandes beschäftigen sich mit 16 Sprachen/ nationalen Varietäten in 15 Ländern/Regionen der Welt: Afrikaans, Amerikanisches English, Arabisch/Französisch, Belgisches Niederländisch, Bulgarisch, Deutschländisches Deutsch, Doukhobar Russisch, Marokkanisches Arabisch, Georgisch, Japanisch, Kroatisch, Nigerianisches Englisch, Österreichisches Deutsch, Ungarisch/Deutsch, Russisch, Standard Arabisch und Zypriotisches Griechisch. Die Artikel beschäftigen sich zudem mit einer weiten Palette von Texttypen, sprachlichen Domänen, Kommunikationsbereichen, pragmatischen Phänomenen, soziolinguistischen Prozessen und Entwicklungen im mündlichen und schriftlichen Gebrauch von Sprachen. Der Herausgeber und die AutorInnen hoffen, dass die Artikel dieses Buches eine große Zahl von Lesern finden und für weitere Forschungen anregend sein werden.

Preface / Vorwort

This volume comprises 20 papers of section 1.4 "Reproduction and Innovation in Language and Communication in different Language Cultures / Reproduktionen und Innovationen in Sprache und Kommunikation verschiedener Sprachkulturen" of the IRICS-conference which took place in Vienna, Austria, on December 9th-12th 2005. It was organised by the "Research Institute for Austrian and International Literature and Cultural Studies (INST)" (www.inst.at). I would like to thank Mr. Herbert Arlt, the scientific director of the INST, for his engagement and skill in organising large conferences devoted to the cultural sciences, which by now have become an important event for many researchers all over the globe.

The section work aimed at the description of changes in languages and in communicative habits which took place in different language cultures within the past 30-40 years or are still going on. The main focus of the section work was on processes which represent linguistic, sociolinguistic or pragmatic innovation/continuity and changes in communicative habits and to get an outlook on possible developments in the future.

This volume contains the papers of 20 researchers from 12 countries. They were arranged into five different thematic groups: (1) Innovation and continuity in pluricentric languages and in the languages of multiethnic societies; (2) Innovation and continuity in pragmatics and oral use of languages; (3) Innovation and continuity in political communication and in communication genres of the new electronic media; (4) Language contact I: The influence of English and Russian on other languages; (5) Language contact II: Innovation and continuity in minority languages.

The papers of this volume deal with 16 languages/national varieties in 15 countries/regions around the world: Africaans, American English, Austrian German, Belgian Dutch, Bulgarian, Croatian, Cypriot Greek, Doukhobor Russian, Georgian, German German, Hungarian/German, Japanese, Russian, Moroccan Arabic/French, Nigerian English and Standard Arabic.

The papers also deal with a wide range of text types, language domains, fields of communication, pragmatic phenomena, sociolinguistic processes and developments in the usage of oral or written forms of languages. The editor and the authors of this volume hope that the papers of this volume will find a large number of readers and stimulate further research.

(Karl-Franzens-Universiät Graz, Austria Austrian German Reserch Centre) rudolf.muhr@uni-graz.at

Asymmetry in action The sociolinguistics of lexical change in Austrian German

Abstract

This paper is a report on some selected results of a pilot study on ongoing lexical and grammatical changes in Austrian German (AG). Thirty lexical and grammatical items were chosen from large studies on AG published in the 1960ies and 1970ies and presented to informants. The informants were students and their family members. They were asked to classify the lexical and grammatical items of the questionnaire into three categories: unknown, known but not personally used and known and personally used. The results showed that a massive language shift has taken place ever since the early 1960ies of the past century. Several lexical items are just about to disappear or about to become relict forms. Other items are used in parallel with the new ones and only a very few native items seem to gained in use by native speakers of AG. In many cases the changes can be traced back to the direct influence of TV series and the dubbing of American films and TV-series into German German (GG) which for many AG speakers acts as a prestige form. The influence is especially strong in the youngest generation (< 25 years of age) and for many traditional AG lexical expressions it seems obvious that they will be completely lost in the next generation if the intense language contact via TV and other sources continues.

1. Introduction

This paper presents a first report on an ongoing research project on language change/shift in Austria. The main objective of the project is to find out what kind of changes are going on and what the background and the forces behind the changes are. The background of the project is the observation that due to strong language contact via satellite-TV, other electronic media and the internet Austrian German (AG) has come under strong pressure from German (GG) which acts as the lending variety while AG is the

receiving variety. This has led to angry comments in letters to the editors of newspapers and on web sites where people expressed their frustration about the changes. The topic is highly controversial as many young Austrian urban middle class speakers have a tendency to pick up certain lexical items and pronunciation features of GG origin. They are presumed to be symbol of "modernity" and "progressiveness". The phenomenon of language contact via TV and internet is quite young and can be traced back to the early 1980ies when the co-operation between Austrian and German TV-stations begun (Muhr, 2003). Discussions with students of mine and personal observations showed a generation gap between the 3-25 years old and the population older than that. The research therefore concentrates on recent changes in the youngest age group and in the lexicon of AG as this is the linguistic structure speakers are most aware of.

2. General methodology

2.1 The survey

A survey was designed to elicit data. It focused on university students and their relatives as this would show the language change within families and by that safeguard the homogeneity of the data. A second reason was the fact that most students come from urban middle class families where – according to my observations – the changes in the lexicon have been strongest. The survey (see screenshot) was first handed over to participants on printed copies and later put on the web which allowed many more people to access the survey.

The data of the survey came from papers and publications on lexical differences between AG and GG which had been published in the 1960ies and 1970ies. The works of Rizzo-Baur (1962) and Valta (1974) were of particular importance as both are based on a corpus of newspapers and other texts of their time. They contain a list of several hundred lexical items which differed either in respect to their denomination, their semantics, morphology and/or pragmatic use.

Important criteria for choosing an item were that it should belong to the vocabulary of everyday language. Candidate words should not be too infrequent in use and there should be some evidence that the use of the item was undergoing changes. I looked through these lists and chose thirty items which covered the following lexical fields and linguistic phenomena: (1) furniture and household goods (5 items), (2) food stuff and expressions related to it (3 items), (3) names of meals and months (2), (4) modal adverbs and modal particles (3), (5) Verbs (5), (6) morphological variants of adjectives (1), (7) use of prepositions (5).

It was quite difficult to find items which suited all three criteria (every-day vocabulary, reduced frequency, indications for ongoing changes) because many expressions had come completely out of use and seemed outdated even to me (age group 5 = 50 years and more). Others were rather specific in meaning and use which made it very likely that they were not known to younger people. And many expressions had simply come out of use because the objects or circumstances to which they were connected no longer existed. Finally the following list of 30 items (lexical, morphological and morphosyntactical) emerged. The information about ongoing changes in use came from personal observations and discussions with students and other people pointing me to differences in usage. The following table gives an overview about the selected items:

		1		1
	AG / GG word	engl. transl.	AG / GG word	engl. transl.
1.	Paradeiser / Tomaten	tomatoes	16. Feber / Februar	February
2.	Häuptelsalat / Kopfsalat	lettuce	17. mal / einmal	once
3.	Erlagschein / Zahlschein	payment form	18. nur / gerade mal	only
4.	Nachtmahl / Abendessen	supper / dinner	19. zufleiss machen, absichtlich	deliberately
5.	Fleischhauer / Fleischer	butcher	20. bei / an der Hand hal- ten	to hold sbs. hand
6.	Kaffeehäferl / Kaffee- tasse	mug /coffee cup	21. bei / an jmd. vorgehen	to pass sb.
7.	die Akte / der Akt	file	22. an / auf der Tafel	at the black- board
8.	einfärbig / einfarbig	monochrome	23. an / auf die Schürze	at the apron
9.	Wimmerl / Pickel	spot / pimple	24. die Zahl eins / die Eins	digit one
10.	Schnackerlstoßen / Schluckauf	hick up	25. verkühlt / erkältet.	to have a cold
11.	Nachtkastl / Nachttisch	bedside table	26. angreifen / anfassen	to touch
12.	Stoppelzieher / Korken- zieher.	corkscrew	27. übertauchen / über- standen	to survive
13.	Spagat / Schnur	cord / string	28. picken / kleben	to stick on
14.	Zippverschluss / Reißverschluss.	zip	29. brocken / pflücken	to pick
15.	in der Früh / am Morgen	in the morning	30. um / nach Milch fahren	go for milk

The lexical and grammatical items were embedded in simple sentences showing two alternative expressions / structures of AG and GG origin in order to disambiguate the meaning of the item in question (see the following excerpt from the questionnaire).

Bitte lesen Sie die Sätze durch WELCHER DER AUSDRÜCKE FÜ Sie das möglichst SPONTAN nachzude	unbekannt	bekannt, persönl. <u>nicht</u> ver- wendet	bekannt persönl. verwendet	
1. Die Lampe steht auf dem	П	_		
	NACHTKÄSTCHEN	О	_	

[The lamp is standing on the night table = Nachtkastl/Nachkästchen...]

The informants were asked to choose between three answers: (1) I don't know the item; (2) I do know the item, but don't use it personally; (3) The item is known to me and I used it. I have used this kind of choice of answers successfully in other surveys before. The data collected in this way do not answer the question how often an item is "really" used by the participants. They rather represent the specific language knowledge and personal assumptions about the informant's own language use and are as such evidence about attitudes on language use. Apart from statistical data derived from large language corpora - such attitudinal data are at present however the only source for gathering knowledge about ongoing language change.

2.2 The informants

The participants were students of mine and their relatives. The students were asked to give the questionnaire to their parents, grandparents and their brother and sisters. This proved to be highly rewarding as it led to additional information which emerged from discussions between the generations. This pilot study will be continued on a larger scale collecting data from all over Austria. The pilot group whose results are reported here consisted of 65 informants which predominantly came from provinces situated in the centre of Austria (Carinthia, Styria, Upper Austria, Salzburg, Burgenland). The participants were classified into 6 age groups: (1) 3-15, (2) 15-35, (3) 25-35, (4) 35-50, (5) 50-65, (6) 65 and more. The results of two adjacent age groups were put together in order to achieve higher ratings and by that allow tests of significance. In most cases there were no significant results on the level of p=0,05 as the cells were not filled with enough respondents. It will be shown that the factor "age" is significant yielding a number of clear results.

3. Some selected results

(1) Expressions for vegetables: Paradeiser / Tomaten and Häuptelsalat / Kopfsalat

The AG word "Paradeiser" for "tomato" is one of the so called shibboleth features of AG. It has been gradually replaced by the GG word "Tomate" due to the spread of huge supermarket chains and the internationalisation of trade in the past 25 years. "Paradeiser" has never been very much in use in the West of Austria where "Tomate" has been predominant. This might be due to the early start of tourism in the alpine areas in the late 1890ies. The second pair of words is "Häuptelsalat" / "Kopfsalat". Here again the change can be traced back to the influence of large supermarket chains were the GG word according to my personal observations came into use on price tags and labels in the mid 1990ies.

1.1 The data of "Paradeiser" vs. "Tomate"

Paradeiser vs. Tomate										
		1.1 Parade	iser		1.2 Toma	ate				
		Responses à	ı / %		Responses	à / %				
AGE	GE 1 2 3				2	3				
1+2		26 76,5	08 23,5		01 02,9	34 97,1				
3-6		11 36,7	19 63,3		05 16,7	25 83,3				
1-6		37 56,9	27 41,5		06 09,2	59 90,8				
3+4		08 66,7	04 33,3		02 16,7	10 83,3				
5+6	•	03 16,7	15 83,3		03 16,7	15 83,3				

The results show that in age group one and two 76,5 % of the informants say that they know the word "Paradeiser", but don't use it personally and only 23,5% say that they still use it. No participant said that he/she doesn't know the word. The results of the age groups 3-6 are just the opposite as two thirds (63,3%) say that they still use the word "Paradeiser" and one third says that they don't. If one takes the detailed results of the age groups 3+4 and 5+6 into consideration it turns out that the break in use for "Paradeiser" must have taken place between age group five (50-65 years old) and four (35-50 years old). This coincides with the rapid economic recovery in the 1960ies, the emergence of the first supermarket chains and the increasing number of German tourists in Austria which presumably led to a gradual adaptation in the use of certain gastronomic expressions. The data for "Tomate" show that the language shift is even stronger than the data for

"Paradeiser" alone would have suggested. In the age groups 1+2 almost 100% (97,1%) say that they use "Tomate". And even in the oldest age groups (5+6) an average of 83,3% say that they use this word. It can be concluded from these figures that the AG expression "Paradeiser" is due to disappear in the next generation.

1.2 The data of "Häuptelsalat" vs. "Kopfsalat" [head of lettuce]

	Häuptelsalat vs. Kopfsalat											
		2.1 Häuptelsal	at		2.2 Kopfsala	at						
		Responses à /	%		Responses à	/ %						
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3						
1+2	06 17,1	10 28,6	19 54,3		04 11,4	31 88,6						
3-6		02 06,7	28 93,3		21 70,0	09 30,0						
1-6	06 09,2	12 18,5	47 72,3		38 58,5	27 41,5						
3+4	06	01 08,3	11 91,7		07 58,3	05 41,7						
5+6		01 05,6	17 94,4		14 77,8	04 22,2						

The data show that this pair of words is rather different in several aspects. Surprisingly enough, 17% of the youngest age group say that the don't know the word "Häuptelsalat". Reasons for that might be that young people these days are not very much involved in running a household and in preparing meals and therefore simply did not come in touch with the term. Contrary to that no member of the same age group indicated that they didn't know the alternative word "Kopfsalat". This and the fact that 88,6% of this group say that they use the newly imported word instead of the native one, rather points to the fact that the language shift has been even more dramatic as in the case of "Paradeiser/Tomate". This is supported by the other figures for the age groups 3-6 with only 30% on average using the new word and 93,3 % the old word. Even though half of the age groups 1+2 declared that they still used the native word, the high numbers for the new word and the large difference in use in comparison to the other age groups shows that within one generation almost a complete replacement of a lexical expression for an everyday food stuff has taken place.

2. Expressions for household goods and clothing: "Häferl" vs. "Tasse" [mug/cup] and "Zippverschluss vs. "Reißverschluss" [zip]

With "Häferl" and "Tasse" there is not only a difference in use but also a difference in style and semantics involved. Usally a "Häferl" is a kind of cup which in BE usually is called a "mug" - a household good which (according to Wikipedia)

"is a sturdily built type of cup often used for ho beverages, such as coffee, tea, and hot chocolate. The mug often contains a larger amount of fluid and is usually used in less formal settings than the smaller, more refined cup. In polite society, a tea cup is the preferred method of serving tea and sometimes coffee (then called a coffee cup)."

The mentioned use of "tea cup" in "polite society" instead of a "mug" is also the case in Austria. However in informal speech of close relationships a "coffee cup" might also be called a "Häferl", whereas the term "Tasse" is usually reserved for smaller cups in a more refined design and used in formal encounters. In cafes, coffee is always served in "Kaffeetassen" [coffee cups] and not in "Kaffeehäferl" [coffee mugs]. In order to avoid this semantic muddle, the words were embedded in a interrogative sentence: Wo sind die Kaffeehäferl? / Kaffeetassen? [Where are the coffee mugs / coffee cups?] which implies that the person who asks is close to the addressee. It has to be noted that "Häferl" is diminutive of "Hefen" which is etymologically akin to "Hafner" - potter - the person who produces pots made of clay.

The second pair of words is actually consisting of a hybrid loan word [Zippverschluss] and its loan translation [Reißverschluss]. The loan "Zip" was imported into German with the good itself which was invented some time between 1851 and 1912². The original word was "Zip" and not the hybrid form. This is also documented by the fact that it dispersed into most languages of the former Austro-Hungarian Monarchy. The loan translation [Reißverschluss] seems to have come up before World War II (see the results).

2.1 The data for "Häferl" vs. "Tasse"

		6.1 Häferl		6.2 Tasse		
		Responses à /	′ %	Responses à / %		
AGE	1 2 3		1	2	3	
1+2		17 48,6	18 52,4	01 02,9	05 14,3	28 80,0
3-6		17 56,7	12 43,3		02 06,7	26 86,7
1-6		35 53,8	30 46,2	01 01,5	07 09,2	57 87,7
3+4		05 41,7	70 58,3		01 08,3	11 91,7
5+6		12 66,7	5 33,3		1 16,7	15 83,3

Different from the first two pairs of words, it is interesting to see that the use of "Häferl" seems to have increased in the youngest generations

http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Mug&oldid=58790126

http://de.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Rei%C3%9Fverschluss&oldid=17642896 / ririmayer.ch - the zipper story

(1+2) by 52,4% compared to the other generations (3-6). A look at the data of age group 5+6 shows that only a third (33,3%) uses this word whereas 66,7% say that they know this word but don't use it.

Another interesting data is the use of "Tasse" which seems to have increased between the oldest generations (83,3%) and the succeeding ones (3+4) which use the word by 91,7% whereas the youngest ones show about the same data as the generation of their grand parents. This rise might be partly explained by a relatively low number of informants for this age group but is on the other hand conformant to the data of "Häferl".

It can be concluded that this pair of words seems to have undergone a language shift towards the AG word although the alternative word is still strongly used by all age groups. The fact that "Häferl" is now used by half of the youngest age group indicates that the stylistic difference between both words seems to have been levelled and "Häferl" has lost its stigmatisation as informal non-standard word.

2.2 The data for "Zippverschluss" vs. "Reißverschluss"

	3.:	1 Zippverschlu	3.2 Reißverschluss			
	R	esponses à / %	ه م	Responses à / %		
AGE	1	1 2 3				3
1+2	04 11,4	29 82,8	02 05,7		01 02,9	34 97,1
3-6	01 03,3	21 70,0	08 26,7		04 13,3	26 86,7
1-6	05 07,7	50 76,9	10 15,4		05 07,7	60 92,3
3+4	01 11,1	09 75,0	16,67		01 08,3	11 91,7
5+6		12 66,6	06 33,3		03 16,7	15 83,3

Contrary to the data of case 2.1, the form "Zippverschluss" seems to have come completely out of use and been totally replaced by "Reißverschluss". Only the a third of two oldest age groups report that they use the word, two thirds however say that the know the word but don't use it. 91,7% of the youngest generations (1+2) say that they use "Reißverschluss" and only 5,7% (two informants out of thirty five) say that they still use "Zippverschluss". Four participants of this age group say that they don't know the word at all. The data across all age groups show that only 15,4% still use "Zippverschluss" but 91,7% prefer "Reißverschluss". It can be assumed that "Zippverschluss" already started to get out of use in the 1930ies and 1940ies.

3. Expressions for meals and time of day: "Nachtmahl vs. Abendessen" [dinner/supper] and "in der Früh vs. "am Morgen" [in the morning]

The traditional expression for "dinner" in AG is "Nachtmahl" (literally: night meal) whereas "Abendessen" has been in use too but mainly in written language. "Nachtmahl" also seems to be marked for usage in non-urban parts of Austria. The increase in use of "Abendessen" could be connected to an increase in TV-watching, higher education levels and more access to and use of written language due to the emergence of the internet and computers. Another case is "in der Früh" which is under pressure from "am Morgen". The use of this expression for the interval between sunrise and about 8-8.30 a.m. is very recent and can be attributed to language contact via TV. Before, it was restricted to Northern Germany and not even in use in the southern parts of Germany and more or less unknown in Austria until the 1990ies.

3.1 The data for "Nachtmahl" vs. "Abendessen" [dinner/supper]

	Nachtmahl vs. Abendessen										
		2.1 Nachtmal	hl		2.2 Abende	ssen					
	F	Responses à /	%		Responses à	à/%					
AGE	AGE 1 2 3				2	3					
1+2	01 02,9	28 80,0	06 17,1		03 08,6	32 91,4					
3-6		17 56,7	13 43,3		05 17,3	24 82,7					
1-6	01 01,5	45 69,2	19 29,2		08 12,5	56 87,5					
3+4		07 58,3	05 41,7	,	02 16,7	10 83,3					
5+6		10 55,6	08 44,4		03 17,6	14 82,4					

The data for this pair of words show that both the native and the new expression are well known in all age groups and that there seems to be a replacement taking place only among the youngest age groups as 91,4% of them prefer to use "Abendessen" and only 17,1% of the same group. The age groups 3-6 prefer the use of "Abendessen" by 82,7% but 43,3% still use "Nachtmahl" in parallel. This number is constant across all age groups as there is no difference between the oldest age groups and the younger ones. Here again the native AG word seems to be bound to disappear within one generation.

3.2 The data for "in der Früh vs. "am Morgen" [in the morning]

The AG native form "in der Früh" is used by 100% of the youngest age groups and scores very high in all other groups. It is however interesting to see that the figures of age 3+4 are the lowest for "in der Früh" but highest for "am Morgen" (25%). It seems that this the middle-aged generations are

more inclined to follow the zeitgeist which calls for the use of newly imported lexical forms.

	in der Früh vs. am Morgen										
		6.1 in der I	rüh		6.2 am Morge	n					
		Responses à	i / %		Responses à /	%					
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3					
1+2			35 100	2 5,7	30 85,7	02 05,7					
3-6		1 3,3	23 96,7	1 3,3	02 83,3	04 13,3					
1-6		1 1,6	64 98,4	1 1,5	55 87,3	07 11,1					
3+4		1 8,3	11 91,6		06 75,0	03 25,0					
5+6			12 100		17 94,4	01 05,6					

How new "am Morgen" is, can be seen from the very low scores of age group 1+2 (5,7%). According to my observations the new form is heavily used on popular radio stations and it only seems to be a matter of time until this is picked up by the public.

4. Expressions which are direct loans from GG introduced via advertisements and TV-series: "Wimmerl" vs. "Pickel" [spot/pimple] and "der Akt" vs. "die Akte" [file]

The choice between "Wimmerl" and "Pickel" can directly traced back to the introduction of a dermatological ointment called "Clearasil". It was introduced in the early 1980ies by massive sales promotion on Austrian TV and radio using the newly introduced opportunity for showing commercials and broadcasting them on public radio which had been forbidden before. The commercial promised relieve from pimples by a refrain which run something like: "Weg, weg, Clearasil und die Pickel sind weg! [Away, away, Clearasil and the pimples go away!]. As far as I can remember the commercial was played on radio and TV incessantly for at least ten years. The repetitions and the catchy tune used in the commercial has imprinted the product and the word in the memories of the Austrian population ever since.

The other pair of words "Akt" vs. "Akte" has its origin in the famous American TV-series "The X-files" which was first shown on Austrian TV in 1992. It was dubbed in Germany and the title was translated as "Die AKTE X" and not as it would be in AG until then "Der AKT X". In AG official court documents (files) are still called "der Akt sg. / die Akten pl." whereas in GG they have always been called "die Akte sg. / die Akten pl.". There is a difference in gender (masculine vs. feminine) and morphology as the GG word has an ending in –e. It must be pointed out that there is quite a number of nouns which all show this difference (Schranken/Schranke, Eck/Ecke etc.). This phenomenon was called the "Lutherian –e" and marked a dividing line be-

tween the conservative Catholic Austrian areas and the modern Protestant areas in the North of the former Holy Roman Empire of German nation.

The TV-series turned out to be immensely popular and its introduction coincided with the appearance of a new life style magazine (News). It instantly picked up the expression "Akte" as this would convey modernity and progressiveness. Since then there is a split in the AG usage of "Akt" and "Akte" in AG as the older form is still used in the judicial domain, whereas the newer GG form has come into use in newspaper language and in certain social groups as the following data will show.

4.1 The data for "Wimmerl" vs. "Pickel"

		2.1 WIMMER	L		2.2 PICKE	L
		Responses à /	%		Responses à	/ %
AGE	1 2		3	1	2	3
1+2	1 2,9	15 42,9	19 54,3		04 11,4	31 88,6
3-6	1 3,3	04 13,3	25 83,3	1 3,3	19 63,3	08 26,7
1-6	2 3,1	19 29,2	44 67,7	1 1,6	23 36,5	39 62,0
3+4	1 8,3	03 25,0	08 66,7		05 41,7	04 33,3
5+6	-	01 05,6	17 94,4	1 5,6	13 72,2	04 22,2

The data of "Wimmerl" vs. "Pickel" show - different form the other lexical items - that the AG lexical form is still heavily used by the youngest age group (54,3%), even though only 11,4% of the same group say that they know the new form but don't use it. The change in usage is not very strong in the other age groups as 83,3% say that the still use the AG and only 26,7% the GG expression. If one compares the data of the two oldest groups with 94,4% using "Wimmerl" and the two youngest groups (54,3%) there is however a drop in use by about 45% within a period of 25 years. The use of "Pickel" on the other hand differs by 22,2:88,6%. This shows that the replacement is now practised by almost the whole of the youngest generation. It can be assumed that will only take another generation until the AG word becomes a relict form.

4.2 The data for "Akt" vs. "Akte"

Here again the changes are dramatic. If one takes into consideration that the GG form "Akte" only came into use in AG in 1992, the data of the youngest generation (15,6:88,6%) show that the AG form has almost been completely replaced by the GG form within a small period of 14 years.

		20.1 Akt			20.2 Akt	rte	
	ı	Response à / 🤊	6	Response à / %			
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3	
1+2	6 18,9	22 66,7	05 15,6		04 11,4	31 88,6	
3-6	3 10,0	07 23,3	27 66,7	1	16 53,3	14 43,3	
1-6	9 13,8	29 44,6	25 38,5	1	20 30,8	44 67,7	
3+4	2 16,6	02 16,7	15 66,7		07 58,3	05 41,7	
5+6	1 05,6	05 27,7	12 66,7	1	09 50,0	08 44,4	

Strangely enough the older age groups also show relatively high figures for the GG loan word (> 40%). I can only be assumed that this is directly linked to the immense popularity of the TV-series "Die Akte X" [The X-files] which has been shown on Austrian TV ever since 1992 and by that seems to have came into general use in all generations.

5. Some verbal expressions which are also direct loans from GG introduced via TV: "verkühlen" vs. "erkälten" [to catch a cold] and "angreifen" vs. "anfassen" [to touch]

The native AG word for "to catch a cold" is "verkühlen". It is partly replaced by the GG word "erkälten" which came up with TV commercials for medicaments promising relief for that kind of illness. As commercials for medicaments return seasonally every year, the loan word became widely used by being repeated again and again. This is shown by data from internet searches on different Austrian search machines (see Muhr, 2003). They returned 902 documents for "erkälten" and only 158 documents with the word "verkühlen". The data from the survey show however that the changes don't seem to be that dramatic as the users of the internet might suggest.

The second pair of verbal expressions undergoing changes is "angreifen" vs. "anfassen". The meaning of the AG word is in GG represented by two words: "anfassen" [to touch] and "angreifen" [attack]. The two words are only partly synonymous. There have been several reports about Austrians and Germans struggling with misunderstandings caused by this pair of words. Here again the only possible source for the change is language contact via TV, dubbed films, children cassettes and audio books solely presented in GG.

5.1 The data for "verkühlen" vs. "erkälten" [to catch a cold] and "angreifen" vs. "anfassen" [to touch]

The data show that 100% of the youngest and the oldest generation indicate that they use "verkühlen", but only 75% of the middle generation aged

25-50. This is supported by the data for "erkälten" where again the middle generation show the highest scores (41,7%), whereas the oldest (11,1%) and the youngest generations (18,2) score considerably lower.

	18.1 verkühlen			18.2 erkälten		
	Responses à / %			Responses à / %		
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3
1+2			35 100		27 81,8	06 18,2
3-6		3 10,0	27 90,0		23 76,7	07 23,3
1-6	1 1,5	3 04,6	61 93,8		50 79,7	13 20,3
3+4	1 8,3	3 25,0	09 75,0		07 58,3	05 41,7
5+6			18 100,0		16 88,9	02 11,1

If one takes into consideration that this loan has been introduced in Austria at about the same time as "Pickel" some 25 years ago, it is difficult to see why there is such a difference in use between the two pairs of words.

5.2 The data for "angreifen" vs. "anfassen" [to touch]

	22.1 angreifen			22.2 anfassen		
	Responses à / %			Responses à / %		
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3
1+2		05 14,3	30 85,7		21 63,4	12 36,4
3+4	1 8,3	2 16,7	09 75,0	1 8,4	07 58,3	04 33,3
5+6		2 11,1	17 88,9		14 77,8	04 22,2
3-6		4 16,7	26 83,3	1 3,5	21 72,4	08 27,6
1-6	1 1,5	9 13,8	55 84,6	1 1,5	42 64,6	20 30,8

The data for "angreifen" show that the use of it is high in all generations (75-88,9%). Here again the middle generation (75%) and not the youngest one shows the lowest amount of use of the traditional AG expression. The data for "anfassen" are conformant to the ones of "angreifen". There is only a slight rise in usage between the oldest age groups (5+6) by 22,2% and the youngest 36,4%. It can be assumed that the double meaning of the AG word serves in some way as a protective shield against the radical replacement of the word as it has to serve two semantic functions.

6. Changes in morpho-syntactic structures: The use of the local prepositions "bei" and "an" in prepositional phrases functioning as local and directive adverbial arguments.

One of the differences between AG and GG which has not been very much dealt with is the use of different local prepositions like "bei" and "an" indicating the local vicinity to an object or person by being in vertical contact with it. In AG one could say "Ich gehe gerade bei der Kirche vorbei." [I am just passing (at) the church."] whereas in GG the usual way to express this

would be "Ich gehe gerade an der Kirche vorbei." The local contact between the two objects/persons is not immediate but symbolic. The survey also comprised tests with sentences containing both prepositions expressing immediate contact between the two objects. The sentence to test this use of the prepositions was: "Karl ist gerade bei/an uns vorbeigegangen." [lit.: *Charles just passed (at) us.] and: "Er hat das Kind bei/an der Hand gehalten." [lit.: *He held the child at its hand.]. As the data show substantial differences in use have taken place in AG between the two meanings of the prepositions.

6.1 The data for "bei uns vorbeigegangen" vs. "an uns vorbeigegangen" [to pass sb.]

	27.1 bei uns vorbeigegangen			2.2 an uns vorbeigegangen		
	Responses à / %			Responses à / %		
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3
1+2	1 2,9	07 20,6	27 79,4	1 2,9	18 52,9	14 44,1
3-6		05 16,7	25 83,3		20 71,4	08 28,7
1-6	1 1,5	12 18,5	52 80,0	1 1,6	38 59,4	22 39,1
3+4		03 25,0	09 75,0		07 58,3	05 41,7
5+6		02 10,1	16 88,9		13 72,2	05 32,8

The data show that a very high number of informants in all age groups uses the preposition "bei" to express local directive vicinity between two adjacent objects. And there is little difference in use between the older age groups and the younger ones. Only the middle aged groups have again the lowest scores which seems to be a trend and obviously needs further research to explain this difference. The use of this preposition seems to be quite stable if one also takes the figures for the second preposition "an" into consideration. Although 44% of the youngest generation say that they also use "an" in this context, there is only a small rise of 13 points in use between the oldest and the youngest age groups. The data nevertheless reveal substantial differences between the use in context 6.1 and 6.2 which makes it reasonable to assume that in contexts where direct contact is expressed by use of the preposition "bei" the change has gone much further than in the context with no direct contact. It is difficult to see what the reasons for this exactly are. To clarify this more more research is obviously necessary.

6.2 The data for "bei der Hand halten" vs. "an der Hand halten " [to hold sb. hand]

The data for this semantic variant (direct contact with the object) are quite different from 6.1 as the youngest generations by 87% of the participants seem to have replaced the AG form by the GG form. This is supported by high scores for the older age groups for "an" which obviously use both forms in parallel (66,1%). It looks as if the form itself differs strongly in semantic content from 6.1 otherwise the strong differences across all age groups are not explicable.

	2	26.1 bei der Hand halten			26.2 an der Hand halten		
		Responses à / %			Responses à / %		
AGE	1	2	3	1	2	3	
1+2	2 6,1	20 60,6	11 33,3		06 13,1	29 86,9	
3-6		11 36,7	19 63,3		12 40,0	18 60,0	
1-6	2 3,1	31 47,7	30 46,2		18 27,7	47 72,3	
3+4		04 33,3	08 66,6		05 41,7	07 58,3	
5+6		07 38,9	11 61,1		07 38,9	11 61,1	

7. Summary

In this study selected data from a pilot study on lexical and morphosyntactical changes were presented which have reached the level of linguistic awareness of many Austrians. Most of them can be traced back to language contact via the electronic media, to contact via trade and commerce and to contact via tourism. The results are quite adverse as certain AG words like "Paradeiser" [tomato], "Zippverschluss" [zip] and "Nachtmahl" [dinner] are about to disappear or become relict forms by the fact that the youngest generations (< 25) hardly use them any more. The most striking case turned out to be the change in use of "Akt" to "Akte" which has been virtually replaced within the short period of 14 years since the TV-series bringing about the loan word was first shown on Austrian TV. Other AG expressions like "Wimmerl" [pimple], "Häuptelsalat" [head of lettuce] and "Häferl" [mug/cup] seem to be in a state of transition as they are used in parallel with the loan word from GG. A third group of expressions like "in der Früh" [in the morning], "angreifen" [to touch/to attack], "verkühlen" [to catch a cold] seem to be quite untouched by the loan words and are strongly used in all generations. A look at morpho-syntactic changes showed that changes in the use of local prepositions are under way but differing in extent depending on the semantic content of the preposition in the specific syntactic context.

Even though the sample is quite small, it can be concluded form these results that there are substantial changes in the lexicon of AG going on which in many cases have led to a partial or even complete replacement of native AG expressions. Only two cases have been found where the use of the AG form seems to have increased in the youngest generation. In all other cases the GG variant has gained massive increases in use.

The data demonstrate (a) the enormous power and influence of TV watching on the development of languages and language communities and (b) that changes can take place be very fast if the loan words are presented to the language community often and at the same time loaded with positive social-symbolic meaning.

References

- Muhr, Rudolf (2003): Erdäpfelsalat bleibt Erdäpfelsalat? Das Österreichische Deutsch. Sein sprachpolitische Situation. In: Busch, Brigitta / de Cillia Rudolf (Hrsg.) (2003): Sprachenpolitik in Österreich. Eine Bestandsaufnahme. Peter Lang Verlang. Franfurt/M. 196-226.
- Muhr, Rudolf (2003): Language change via satellite. The influence of German television broadcasting on Austrian German. In: Journal of historical Pragmatics 4:1 (2003), 103-127.
- Rizzo-Baur, Hildegard (1962): Die Besonderheiten der deutschen Schriftsprache in Österreich und in Südtirol. (Duden-Beiträge, Heft 5). Mannheim: Bibliographisches Institut. (veraltet)
- Valta, Zdenek (1974): Die österreichischen Prägungen im Wortbestand der deutschen Gegenwartsprache. Prag. masch. (E) (Ü)